

LEVEL 3 • C20

Slope, Aspect, Altitude, and Water

PARTICIPANT WORKSHEET

THE RULE		
Write observation and documented evidence before explanation. Keep the final wine effect conditional.		

STEP	EVIDENCE OR HYPOTHESIS	CONFIDENCE / LIMIT
Direct observation or documented condition		
Predicted vine response		
Predicted grape consequence		
Possible wine effect		
Competing vineyard explanation		
Cellar, age, or service alternative		

Confidence

[] Strong evidence [] Plausible [] Insufficient evidence

LEVEL 3 • C20

Application and Evidence Audit

COMPLETE NO-WINE EXERCISE

Read the site-system image and the Mosel, Douro, and Mendoza examples; compare solar interception, cold-air drainage, runoff, labour, elevation, radiation, aridity, and irrigation without ranking one site universally.

READ THREE REAL SITE SYSTEMS

MOSEL: steep exposure can add useful energy in a cool northerly climate, with erosion and labour costs. DOURO: terraces address steep slopes while runoff, machinery, access, and safety remain. MENDOZA: elevation works together with intense radiation, aridity, frost risk, and Andean-supplied irrigation.

Optional application

Flight S - Site system, evidence update: Reuse the exact C19 site pair. Add verified elevation, aspect, slope, exposure, or water evidence and revise the hypothesis.

APPLICATION CHECK	YOUR NOTES
What is directly observed?	
What is documented by a strong source?	
What remains an inference?	
What would improve confidence?	
What must this exercise not claim?	

One sentence worth keeping

Alternative explanation

What I would verify next
