

LEVEL 3 • C22

Farming Systems

PARTICIPANT WORKSHEET

THE RULE		
Write observation and documented evidence before explanation. Keep the final wine effect conditional.		

STEP	EVIDENCE OR HYPOTHESIS	CONFIDENCE / LIMIT
Direct observation or documented condition		
Predicted vine response		
Predicted grape consequence		
Possible wine effect		
Competing vineyard explanation		
Cellar, age, or service alternative		

Confidence

[] Strong evidence [] Plausible [] Insufficient evidence

LEVEL 3 • C22

Application and Evidence Audit

COMPLETE NO-WINE EXERCISE

Audit a real farming claim against the current issuing standard; list verified requirements, missing outcomes, and one plausible tradeoff.

Optional application

Flight F - Farming-label test: Two broadly comparable wines with verified but different farming claims, ideally reusing Flight S. Taste blind and reveal the claims only after private judgment.

APPLICATION CHECK	YOUR NOTES
What is directly observed?	
What is documented by a strong source?	
What remains an inference?	
What would improve confidence?	
What must this exercise not claim?	

One sentence worth keeping

Alternative explanation

What I would verify next